

The Pathway Toward Enhanced Street Sweeping

A practical roadmap for municipal stormwater programs

An enhanced sweeping program is not simply more sweeping. It means targeting the streets that carry the most pollutant, sweeping them close to the storms that would otherwise wash that material into the storm drain, and measuring what comes off the pavement well enough to report it.

Done in that order, it is the least expensive pollutant-removal practice most programs have available. Across 14 Florida MS4 locations, street cleaning removed nitrogen at **\$189 per pound** and phosphorus at **\$294**, against **\$1,016** and **\$1,656** for catch basin cleaning and several thousand dollars per pound for hydrodynamic separators and BMP treatment trains. Those figures price material recovered at the curb, a different endpoint from the modeled reductions most crediting frameworks use, so name the endpoint whenever you quote a cost per pound.

THE ROADMAP AT A GLANCE

1 Start with the permit

Establish your compliance status, whether credit is available, and what documentation your regulator expects.

2 Establish a baseline

Sample the street dirt, weigh what the sweeper recovers, and find out what parked vehicles are costing you.

3 Match equipment to the job

Put the machine that recovers fine material on the routes where fine material carries the pollutant.

4 Target where and when

Prioritize routes by what drives loading, then schedule against the forecast rather than the calendar.

5 Remove operational friction

Parked cars, speed, maintenance and pavement condition all cap what any machine can recover.

6 Document and repeat

Turn recovered material into a reportable figure, then re-baseline and reallocate each year.

The order matters. Each phase depends on the one before it. A fleet upgrade without a baseline cannot be shown to have worked, and a well-run program that measures nothing cannot be credited for any of it.

1 Start with the permit

What you are required to do, and what you could be credited for, before you spend anything.

1 Determine your permit status

Identify whether your organization holds an MS4 or other stormwater discharge permit, and confirm where you currently stand against it. That answer sets the objective: closing a compliance gap, or strengthening a program already in good standing.

2 Find out how your state credits sweeping, and on what basis

42% of state MS4 general permits say nothing about street sweeping at all, and only about **a third** of states credit it. Where credit exists it falls into two families. Some credit a modeled percentage of the load, set by sweeper type and frequency — commonly **2-21%** for solids and **under 10%** for nutrients, which caps what a program can earn however well it sweeps. Others credit the measured mass actually recovered, which has **no ceiling**. Ask your state agency which applies, and where no formal credit exists yet, ask about pilot or interim recognition.

→ *The crediting family your state uses determines how much the rest of this roadmap can earn you.*

3 Ask what documentation your regulator expects

Sampling protocol, testing frequency, reporting format. Settle this before the baseline work in Phase 2, so the data you collect satisfies the permit the first time.

→ *Avoids collecting the wrong data and repeating an entire season of sampling.*

2 Establish a baseline

You cannot improve a number you have never measured.

1 Sample the street dirt

Collect samples from several representative points on a route, varying land use, traffic and canopy cover. Analyze for the constituents you need to report, and characterize particle size while you are at it — sweeper performance, washoff and pollutant concentration all vary sharply with it.

→ *Turns a generic program into a site-specific, defensible pounds-removed figure.*

2 Weigh what the sweeper actually recovers

Record the mass collected on that same route, corrected for moisture, then apply the concentrations from step 1 to get pounds of pollutant recovered per sweep. Onboard or scale-house weighing makes this routine rather than annual.

→ *This is the foundation of every removal and cost figure that follows.*

3 Find out what parked vehicles are costing you

Give an operator a hand counter for one route and click once for every vehicle the sweeper works around. A single parked car typically costs three curb-lengths — the early exit, the car itself, and the re-entry — and two spaced cars can cost six. Multiply average pounds recovered per day by the share of curb-miles missed.

→ *Usually the largest and least expensive source of missed removal to fix.*

3 Match equipment to the job

Machine class sets the ceiling on what any route can yield.

1 Default to regenerative air on routine curbed streets

Regenerative-air machines recirculate their air internally and recover the fine, pollutant-enriched material that brooms leave behind. Controlled pickup testing has documented **90–95%** recovery of material on pavement, and a 2024 controlled test found regenerative air removed pollutants at **roughly twice** the efficiency of a mechanical broom on the same route.

→ *The largest single equipment lever available to most programs.*

2 Reserve the other machine classes for the conditions that suit them

Use vacuum sweepers mainly on porous pavement, where they are competitive with regenerative air. Limit mechanical brooms to freeways and uncurbed corridors, heavy post-storm cleanup, and the lead pass in tandem operation — a broom followed by an air machine.

3 Verify performance on your own routes, periodically

Controlled tests consistently produce higher recovery than real routes, which add parked vehicles, pavement defects and uneven loading. Run periodic pickup tests on your own routes to confirm the fleet is achieving what you assume.

→ *Keeps your removal figures honest over time, not just at purchase.*

4 Target where and when

The same sweep is worth far more at the right place and time.

1 Prioritize routes by what actually drives loading

Four things determine what is on a street. **Land use** sets the overall load: industrial streets have averaged **1,313 lb of solids per curb-mile** against **1,050** commercial and **609** residential. **Tree canopy** drives the nutrient load — phosphorus recovered rose from **4.1 to 9.8 lb per curb-mile** from low- to high-canopy routes. **Traffic** brings metals and tire wear, about **ten times higher** above 30,000 vehicles per day. **Season** sets when it is there: the timing of leaf and pollen drop, the rhythm of human activity, and winter de-icing application.

→ *Nutrients point to canopied residential streets in autumn; metals to high-traffic corridors.*

2 Build the priority map from public data, then enrich it locally

All four drivers are already in free, nationwide datasets — road centerlines, land cover, tree canopy and traffic counts. That gets any city a starting map without collecting anything first. It is not a measurement, though: your own local data is what makes it defensible.

→ *It ranks your own streets against each other — where to sweep first, not how much a street holds.*

3 Schedule against the forecast, not the calendar

A pollutant-transport model simulates material building up between storms and washing off during them, letting you compare schedules before committing budget. Modeled over a winter season on a representative road network, a twice-monthly fixed schedule cut washoff **50–60%** against a no-sweeping baseline. Moving those same sweeps ahead of forecast storms raised it to roughly **60–70%** against that same baseline — and did it on **four sweeps instead of six**, cutting cost per pound removed by about **40%**.

5 Remove operational friction

The least expensive pounds are the ones you stop losing.

1 Run a vehicle-removal program, and notify rather than only enforce

Mandatory vehicle removal increases particulate pickup by **30–60%** compared with sweeping around parked cars, because it opens the curb line where most material sits. Notification is what makes it work: alert residents before the sweeper arrives and again once it passes, so cars can be moved and re-parked quickly.

→ *Directly recovers the load you quantified in Phase 2.*

2 Streamline enforcement

Camera-based ticketing mounted on the sweeper identifies the vehicles that actually blocked its path and sends violations straight to the ticketing agency, cutting enforcement labor while ticket revenue offsets program cost. Confirm your state authorizes the method first.

3 Set speed and frequency deliberately, and keep the fleet maintained

Run **3–6 mph** where accumulation is heavy; at **8–10 mph** pickup falls only **10–15%**, often worth the extra curb-miles per shift. Match frequency to land use rather than habit — daily downtown sweeping is frequently wasteful for pollutant removal. Keep gutter brooms and moving parts in repair.

→ *More curb-miles per operator-hour at full recovery efficiency.*

4 Address pavement condition

Poor and fair pavement traps material in cracks and depressions no sweeper can reach. Seal cracks, support repaving, and prioritize curbed streets, where material collects against the curb line.

→ *Raises the ceiling on every other step in this roadmap.*

6 Document and repeat

Turn recovered material into a reportable, repeatable figure.

1 Report at the strongest level your program can support

There are three ways to report what sweeping removed, and they are not equivalent. Strongest is the **mass of pollutant recovered**: sweep, sample, analyze. Next is the **mass of material collected**, as dry weight. Weakest is **curb-miles swept**. Report at the highest level your data supports, and label it as that level.

→ *Evidence strength rises with the level, and so should the credit.*

2 Keep modeled and measured figures clearly separated

A model schedules the sweep and supports the decision. Sampling makes the number defensible. Neither substitutes for the other, and the two should never carry the same label in a permit report.

→ *The distinction a reviewer will look for first.*

3 Re-baseline and reallocate each year

Re-run the baseline and priority map annually. Shift sweeping hours toward the routes, machines and timings producing the most removal per dollar, and away from those that are not.

If you do only three things

Programs rarely have the budget to act on everything at once. These three move the number furthest, in this order.

Open the curb line

No equipment upgrade recovers as much material as simply getting vehicles out of the sweeper's path. Notification and enforcement cost far less than a new machine.

Sweep ahead of storms

On your highest-priority routes, when you sweep changes the result more than how often you sweep — and usually costs less, not more.

Weigh what you collect

A measured mass is what turns sweeping from an activity you report into a pollutant reduction you can be credited for.

Quick-reference checklist

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ Confirmed our permit status and current compliance standing | ☐ Verified pickup performance on our own routes, not only at purchase |
| ☐ Asked our state agency how sweeping is credited, and on what basis | ☐ Built a route priority map and enriched it with local data |
| ☐ Confirmed the documentation standard our regulator expects | ☐ Moved priority routes from calendar to forecast-driven scheduling |
| ☐ Sampled street dirt across a range of land use, traffic and canopy | ☐ Launched or improved a vehicle-notification and removal program |
| ☐ Weighed recovered material and set a baseline pounds-removed figure | ☐ Reviewed sweeping speed, frequency and fleet maintenance by segment |
| ☐ Counted parked-vehicle impact on at least one route | ☐ Identified our reporting level and separated modeled from measured figures |
| ☐ Confirmed the right default machine for our routine curbed routes | ☐ Scheduled an annual re-baseline and reallocation |

Questions? Get in touch



Roger Sutherland, P.E.

Principal / Engineer
Cascade Water Resources

sutherland.roger1@gmail.com
503.704.0522



Ranger Kidwell-Ross, M.A.

Principal / Editor
WorldSweeper.com

editor@worldsweeper.com
360.739.7323



Andrew Sheerin, PhD

Principal Engineer
Fathom Solutions

asheerin@fathomsolutions.dev
401.626.6827